



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                    | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.      | CONFIRMATION NO.         |  |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| 10/016,982   | 12/14/2001      | Vincent Auffray      | FR920000065US1           | 4957                     |  |
| 45092 7  | 7590 02/01/2006 |                      | EXAMINER                 |                          |  |
| HOFFMAN, WARNICK & D'ALESSANDRO LLC<br>75 STATE ST |                 |                      | BASHORE, 1               | BASHORE, WILLIAM L       |  |
| 14TH FL  |                 |                      | ART UNIT                 | PAPER NUMBER             |  |
| ALBANY, NY 12207                                   |                 |                      | 2176                     |                          |  |
|  |                 |                      | DATE MAIL ED: 02/01/2004 | DATE MAIL ED: 02/01/2006 |  |

2.112 1.1111222. 02/01/200

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action

| Application No.    | Applicant(s)   |  |  |
|--------------------|----------------|--|--|
| 10/016,982         | AUFFRAY ET AL. |  |  |
| Examiner           | Art Unit       |  |  |
| William L. Bashore | 2176           |  |  |

| D. C. All Elli S. C. Annual Duick  |   |  |   |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |   |  |  |  |
|  | William L. Bashore  | 2176   |   |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence add  | ress  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 23 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS   |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or<br/>this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow<br/>places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No<br/>a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance<br/>time periods:</li> </ol>   | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff<br>stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o<br>ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo                 | idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C                                     | nce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3)                                      |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In   |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7   | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing<br>(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE<br>06.07(f).  | g date of the final rejecti<br>E FIRST REPLY WAS F                               | on.<br>ILED WITHIN  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount<br>shortened statutory period for reply origing<br>than three months after the mailing dangle. | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offite of the final rejection, of | iate extension fee<br>ce action; or (2) as<br>even if timely filed, |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte<br/>a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>  | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to   | avoid dismissal of th  | ns of the date of<br>e appeal. Since                                |  |  |  |
| AMENDMENTS   |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  |   |  | ecause  |  |  |  |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below   |   | i E below),  |   |  |  |  |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or   |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a   | corresponding number of finally rej   | ected claims.  |   |  |  |  |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1  | 16 and 41.33(a)).   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1  |   | mpliant Amendment  | (PTOL-324).   |  |  |  |
| <ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li></ol>  |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).   | •   | ·  | •   |  |  |  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:  |   | ll be entered and an e   | explanation of  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:<br>Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12</u> .  |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar   | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea  | al and/or appellant fai  | Is to provide a   |  |  |  |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio<br>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | n of the status of the claims after e   | ntry is below or attach  | ned.  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu<br/><u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>  | ,   |  | nce because:  |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).   | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N   | lo(s)  |   |  |  |  |
| 13.  |   | WILLIAM BASHO  | RÉ  |  |  |  |
| . •  |   | PRIMARY EXAMIN   | 1EN   |  |  |  |
|  |   | 117410   |   |  |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

Applicant's proposed amendment significantly changes the scope of the claimed invention when interpreted as a whole, therefore said amendment would require further search and/or consideration..

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant's arguments are substantially directed to new issues as presented above.

WILLIAM BASHORE PRIMARY EXAMINER